

## Evolutionism Creationism Heliocentrism Geocentrism Salad

**Dont listen to 1 star reviews from people who have not read the book, February 14, 2014**

By

[A. Sethi](#) (Northern VA, USA) - [See all my reviews](#)

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

This book gives scientific evidence for the earth standing still. It does not overturn planetary observations. Other planets do revolve around the sun, but not the earth.

You can see without fail that all 1 star reviews are from people who have not read the book. Buy it and then decide based on the math and science.

<><><

**A HEROIC, SEMINAL WORK!**, April 26, 2012

By

[Leonard G. Belisle](#) (Washington, USA) - [See all my reviews](#)

**Verified Purchase**([What's this?](#))

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

For the benefit of those hesitant souls that want to know the TRUTH but may be intimidated by negative reviewers who are nothing more than "intellectual" bullies, I will provide a revealing peek into this heroic, seminal work by two extremely brave men of God.

**Clearly, Evolution REQUIRES billions of years of chance random processes for it to be even REMOTELY possible. Just ONE of the BIGGEST problems for this dubious theory is that God's WORD, the Bible, plainly allows the Earth and the universe to have been CREATED only about 6,000 years ago! chance random processes >>>>Love it! God, you just made my day! JRA**

But, most people don't realize that this whole struggle between Evolutionism and Creationism didn't start with Charles Darwin and 'The Origin of Species' in the 19th century. The modern "EVILution" of origins science began in the early 16th century with the postulations of a Polish mathematician and astronomer by the name of Nicolaus Copernicus and the publication of his book 'On the Revolutions of the Celestial Spheres,' in 1543, the year of his death. Copernicus' Heliocentric theory was championed by many scientists of that day, but the man most recognized in modern times for promoting Heliocentrism was an early 17th century astronomer named... Galileo Galilei.

**Do you want to know the "Dirty-Little-Secret" of Astrophysics? Galileo...was...WRONG!**

**Very few people are aware of this small, but VITAL, piece of evidence: Galileo REPUDIATED his belief in Heliocentrism in a letter to a colleague a year before he died!** But, before we examine that little-known document, let us start with some other critical FACTS that have been conveniently--"Lost in Space."

REMEMBER: "There is a principle which is a bar against all information, which is proof against all argument, and which cannot fail to keep man in everlasting ignorance. That principle is condemnation BEFORE investigation." - Edmund Spencer

**Albert Michelson said: "This conclusion directly contradicts the explanation...which presupposes that the Earth moves."**

(Albert A. Michelson, "The Relative Motion of the Earth and the Luminiferous Ether," American Journal of Science, Vol. 22, August 1881, p.125, said after his first interferometer experiment could NOT detect the movement of ether against the Earth . NOTE: Michelson believed in Heliocentrism and was attempting to confirm and quantify the speed of the Earth's rotational and orbital velocity around the Sun.)

**Albert Einstein said: "I have come to believe that the motion of the Earth cannot be detected by any optical experiment."**

(A quote from Einstein's speech titled: "How I Created the Theory of Relativity," delivered at Kyoto University, Japan, Dec. 14, 1922, as cited in Physics Today, August, 1982. NOTE: Instead of accepting Michelson's obvious interferometer findings, Einstein discounted the well-founded scientific experiment altogether!)

In a frantic effort to explain the disconcerting results of the Michelson-Morley Interferometer experiments (and many others which followed later) that indicated the Earth was MOTIONLESS(!), Einstein invented the Hypothesis (not even a real Theory) of Relativity! By utilizing an esoteric, mathematical construct and NO...REAL...PROOF(!), his new "theory" was gratefully accepted by a worried scientific establishment as an explanation of Michelson and Morley's bewildering experimental conclusions. **Einstein later off-handedly implied that, according to his new Relativity theory, Heliocentrism and Geocentrism are equally valid explanations of cosmic phenomena--relatively speaking--it's just that he PREFERRED Heliocentrism buttressed by his cryptic fudge-factor of Relativity! (Most likely because Geocentrism inherently implies a CREATOR with the resultant accountability of Man!)**

Not only are there numerous scientific proofs for Geocentrism, but there also are an OVERWHELMING amount of BIBLICAL evidence in favor of Geocentrism and opposing Heliocentrism!

**Here is Just ONE Obvious Scriptural Proof-text**

**(Ps 19:4-6)**

**"In them He has set a tabernacle for the SUN,  
Which is like a bridegroom COMING OUT of his chamber,  
And rejoices like a strong man to RUN its race.  
Its RISING is from one end of heaven, (the sun MOVES...)  
And its CIRCUIT to the other end; (...AROUND a static Earth!)  
And there is NOTHING HIDDEN from its HEAT." (This includes ALL of a FINITE universe!)**

**There are almost THIRTY more Biblical proof-texts just like the previous one!**

**Jos 10:10-14**

**Hab 3:11**

**2Ki 20:9-12**

**2Chr 32:31**

**Isa 13:13**

**Isa 24:19-23**

**Isa 38:7-8**

**1Chr 16:30**

**Ps 8:3-6**

**Ps 93:1-2**

**Ps 96:9-11**

**Ps 75:2-4**

**Ps 82:5**

**Ps 99:1**

**Ps 104:5, 19**

**Ps 119:89-91**

**Ecc 1:4-7**

**Job 9:6-10**

**Job 22:13-14**

**Job 26:7-9**

**Job 26:10-11**

**Job 38:12-14**

**Job 38:18 (Constitution of the Firmament) Pr 8:27-30**

**After reading this by-no-means exhaustive Biblical evidence, you have to ask yourself the obvious question: how many times does God have to tell us in His Word that the Earth is MOTIONLESS, and the rest of the universe is MOVING in some fashion around our Globe, for us to believe Him?**

**But the "EXPERTS" say, "It isn't so!"**

**(1Cor 1:27-29) "But God has chosen the foolish things of the world to put to shame the wise, and God has chosen the weak things of the world to put to shame the things which are mighty; and the base things of the world and the things which are despised God has chosen, and the things which are not, to bring to nothing the things that are, that no flesh should glory in His presence."**

**Who are you going to believe?**

**(Ro 3:4) "Indeed, let GOD BE TRUE but every man a liar. As it is written:**

**'That you may be justified in your words,  
And may overcome when you are judged.'"**

To be consistent, if you believe in an Inerrant (trustworthy) Bible, you should believe in Young-Earth Creationism, a Geocentric Universe, and a Catastrophic World-Wide Flood in Noah's day that explains the ruined topography of the Earth including the fossil record! **(Does a 6000 year old world have fossils? JRA)**

**If Evolution is the Trunk and Limbs of the TREE of LIES about Origins, then Heliocentrism was and is the rotten ROOT and foundation of that Satanically inspired "science, falsely so-called" (1Tim 6:20)!**

There is NO valid scientific reason not to believe in Geocentrism, and there are MANY excellent Scriptural and Scientific reasons FOR belief in Geocentrism! There are MANY scientists and theologians with pedigrees as long as your arm (Not to mention the Creator GOD and His Prophets!) who say the Emperor (Heliocentrism) has no clothes on!

**FINALLY...to put the last nail in the putrid coffin of Heliocentrism, Galileo even ADMITTED he was wrong...in WRITING! One year prior to his death, Galileo responded to a colleague's letter that boasted of further proof of the heliocentric system with this communication:**

**"The falsity of the Copernican system should not in any way be called into question, above all, not by Catholics, since we have the unshakeable authority of the Sacred Scripture, interpreted by the most erudite theologians, whose consensus gives us certainty regarding the stability of the Earth, situated in the center, and motion of the sun around the Earth. The conjectures employed by Copernicus and his followers in maintaining the contrary thesis are all sufficiently rebutted by that most solid argument deriving from the omnipotence of God. He is able to bring about in different ways, indeed, in an infinite number of ways, things that, according to our opinion and observation, appear to happen in one particular way. We should not seek to shorten the hand of God and boldly insist on something beyond the limits of our competence...D'Arcetri, March 29, 1641. I am writing the enclosed letter to Rev. Fr. Fulgenzio, from whom I have heard no news lately. I entrust it to Your Excellency to kindly make sure he receives it." Le Opere Di Galileo Galilei , Antonio Favaro, reprinted from the 1890-1909 edition by Firenze, G. Barbera - Editore, 1968, vol. 18, p. 316, translated from the original Italian by Fr. Brian Harrison.**

Sometimes, TRUTH...IS...Stranger than Fiction!

MARANATHA!

**It doesn't matter.,** October 17, 2011

By

[Fr Dcn Christopher \(Chrysostom\) "Dogmatics ar..."](#) (Lorain, Ohio, USA) - [See all my reviews](#)

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

Five stars just to balance out all of the one-stars.

I'm the odd man out in the geocentric field. (Edit 07/09/12: If anyone knows where I can get a copy of this book in both volumes in actual book form for less than the \$90 + \$20 shipping that CAI is charging, please let me know.)

I think the earth is the center of the universe (doesn't it have to be? light doesn't travel at a different speed depending on its declination; now, you'll say, I'm confusing "observable universe" with "universe"... more on that if I get any replies), but not that it is stationary: in something as massive as the universe, is one astronomical unit even measurable when it comes to "the center"? If so, is there a precise center, down to quantum fluctuations?

And, guess what? It doesn't matter. Due to the pervasive mechanistic philosophy of the moderns, our windfall of debt and uninheritance, we've grown in technological acumen while diminishing in understanding and wisdom: technology is not wisdom, mechanical aptitude is not wisdom. **We must strike a balance between understanding and technological progress.** Some things just don't matter, such as string theory and M-theory and branes: they have no possible practical consequence (we're not in "Andromeda" on TV, we can't ride them), and they are of no sapient consequence: they are the result of physicists attempting to do philosophy and metaphysics, as they ran up against the limitation of actual theoretical physics: thus the move to metaphysics, which, aptly enough, in the Greek, means "after physics".

And I'm a creationist (albeit a progressive day-age creationist, not of the young-earth type [edit 07/09/12: I now lean much more towards strict, young-earth creationism]), and I've often been accused - not unfairly, I might add - of holding pre-Adamite beliefs: that is, the belief that hominids existed before Adam was created - "ensouled" - before he was made by YHWH God to instantiate the Form of a rational animal, and thus became "human", able to experience "self", able to grasp other Forms, whether instantiated or not, and thus immortal [cf. Aristotle's Metaphysics, cf. Plato's "Third Realm" as interpreted by Aristotle, Aristotle's classic discourses on the Immortality of the Soul, St Thomas Aquinas's Summa contra Gentiles, Pars 3-4 and Summa Theologia, Pars Ilae QQ. 4 etc.] - I'm a philosophical naive realist/Aristotelian essentialist). I also categorically reject the Copenhagen interpretation.

Now, I'm a philosopher and a theologian, not a trained physicist, but I've read the literature. It's been said that the ignorance of a philosopher speaking on scientific matters is exceeded only by a scientist speaking on philosophical ones. I think Dawkins proves that; and Dennett, as well, even though he's a philosopher, he's a lousy one, just like Locke, except he can't hope to be nearly as influential.

It's a testimony to how thoroughly the evil utilitarianism has infiltrated our entire worldview (not taking in to consideration homosexual unions, rampant fornication, adultery, abortion, etc., not to mention the true wingnuts like Peter Singer) that civilization has decided to keep Locke's conclusions because they like the results, even though his argumentation is universally acknowledged as flawed. As Etienne Gilson said, to paraphrase, we need to return to Aristotle, and reject Hume. The only other choice is eliminative materialism (all materialism is eventually eliminative, unless you become a Cartesian dualist: even Descartes saw the logical conclusions of his philosophy), which is a defeater of itself.

Aristotelianism follows from reality; Theism follows from Aristotelianism; I won't say that Christianity follows from Theism, or even that any revealed religion necessarily does, but, quite obviously, Islam does not: is a non-sequitur: it only follows from - and in - the minds of 7th century Bedouin horselords.

[okieshowedem](#) - [See all my reviews](#)

**Verified Purchase**([What's this?](#))

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

Only those whom Father Yahweh has opened their eyes need to read this book.  
Soon the whole world will understand perfectly what the great prophet Yeremyah wrote.

**Yeremyah 16:19 &20 G5 O Yahweh, my strength and my fortress, my refuge in the day of affliction, the Gentiles will come to You from the ends of the earth, and will say: Surely our fathers have inherited nothing but lies and vanity; worthless, powerless gods (elohim), of no use at all!**

**20. Do men make gods (elohim) for themselves? Yes, but they are powerless!**

The Book of Yahweh, The Holy Scriptures, Tenth Edition, Ebook Version (Kindle Locations 34447-34452).  
The House of Yahweh. Kindle Edition.

**John Murphy** (Lansing, MI USA) - [See all my reviews](#)  
(REAL NAME)

**Verified Purchase**([What's this?](#))

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

I don't know enough about cosmology to know whether or not the author is correct in his assertion that the earth is at the center of the universe. Having said that, **he marshals a lot of evidence to support his claims, as well as pointing out that the "leading lights" of cosmology, Einstein, Hubble, etc., all acknowledged that earth as the center of the universe was cosmologically possible.** They all state in one way or another that they rejected that theory "a priori" because of the philosophical implications of an earth-centered universe. It would be fascinating to have someone who understands cosmology refute Sungenis' arguments, but as far as I can find on the web, those who disagree have not (and I assume) will not even read his arguments, more less respond to them, which to my way of thinking, is quite revealing (not to mention cowardly). Most of the arguments are content to compare him to a "flat-earther" and make ad hominem attacks, rather than deal with...you know...the actual data.

At any rate wouldn't it be fascinating (and humbling to mankind) if we found out that all of modern cosmology rests on an incorrect interpretation of the data, as Sungenis argues.

**Daniel Night "Do to others as you would have ... - [See all my reviews](#)**

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

Look at all the logical fallacies made by the one star reviewers: begging the question, ad hominem, appeal to emotion. God puts these "wise men" to the test, and they fail, revealing themselves to be fools. Atheists could care less about logical fallacies despite making hijacked lists of them and leaving no credit as to where they came from, leaving it open for others to commit the logical fallacy of assumption (in their bias and pride) that "of course, it's atheists who are the logical ones and came up with these lists! **Can't be those theists who've governed and ruled the world for most of known history and who are responsible for building it up, while atheists using the shield of communism tore over 150 million lives down (and still counting, not even including abortions)!" You fail.**

**The Inconvenient Truth**, December 13, 2008

By

**James Mccumiskey "James"** (Ireland) - [See all my reviews](#)  
(REAL NAME)

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

It is a truth universally acknowledged that the Earth rotates on its axis once a day and revolves around the sun once a year.

Prior to Nicolaus Copernicus, it was generally accepted that the Earth was at the centre of the universe. This was particularly so in Christian Europe because the Bible contains many verses which place the Earth at the centre of the universe. The Bible being Holy Writ was accepted by the scientific elites of the day to be true.

The Copernican Revolution described the transformation in Man's thoughts and ideas as a result of Man collectively accepting Copernican's hypothesis that the Earth rotates around the sun.

But what if there is no scientific proof for Copernican's hypothesis? What if all the current scientific evidence points to the Earth being stationary at the centre of the universe?

Galileo was wrong, The Church was Right brilliantly exposes the scientific evidence that has been available for over 100 years **which proves that the Earth is stationary at the centre of the universe.** This book is of interest to the layman (like myself) and also to anyone with a university education in Physics. It is a book I will be studying for years to come.

This seminal book is a key part of the Great Awakening, when Man finally realises that much of what we accept as being true in the field of medicine, biology, physics, economics etc is wrong.

**Geocentricity - Earth stationary at the centre of the universe would be an inconvenient truth because we will have to throw out 500 years of physics. More importantly it would be an inconvenient truth for the scientists who are almost exclusively secular humanists to admit that there is a Creator to whom we are all accountable.**

**Robert Sungennis & Robert Bennett are brave honourable men, and true scientists, who will be ridiculed now but who will eventually be regarded as heroes in the hopefully not too distant future. I salute them and their wonderful book which has opened my eyes. This book has been a wonderful voyage of discovery for me. Thank you!**

**Help other customers find the most helpful reviews**

**Wow - What an Eye Opener and Fascinating Read,** January 17, 2010

**G. Theisen** (Dallas, TX) - **[See all my reviews \(REAL NAME\)](#)**

**This review is from: Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right (CD-ROM)**

This book reminds me somewhat of that movie "A Beautiful Mind" where we're given a 180 reversal on "reality". Or, more recently the Tiger Woods saga, where we were presented with Tiger's image as that of an ideal family man. Haven't the facts proven otherwise, and haven't our eyes been opened.

This book does much the same with our entire view of the universe, and really opens your eyes about how modern science has pulled a "Tiger Woods" on us. **Using scientific data and methodology Dr. Sungennis and Dr. Bennet thoroughly demonstrate that one can show with equal certainty that the sun revolves around the earth as the earth around the sun. Modern science simply chooses the latter to help make their case for evolution and atheism, but they have no more solid ground or "reason" to stand on than theists who believe the earth occupies the center of the universe.**

I highly recommend this book as a fascinating adventure into the world of science and cosmology, but you better be ready to have your world view turned on it's head. It did mine, but it has been a fascinating discovery and I'm grateful for it.

**Many thanks to Dr. Sungennis and Dr. Bennett for having the guts to undertake this effort.**

**Source: [Customer Reviews](#)**

**[Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right](#)**

